

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/761,387	01/22/2004	Dennis M. Vigil	12013/47103	2425
23838 7	590 04/06/2006		EXAM	INER
KENYON & KENYON LLP			MCCORKLE, MELISSA A	
1500 K STREE	ET N.W.			
SUITE 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, DC 20005		3763	

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/761,387	VIGIL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Melissa A. McCorkle	3763
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL!  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica! If NO period for repyl is specified above, the maximum statutor. Failure to repyl whith the set or extended period for repyl with Chief to rethered be provided to repyl with the set of extended period repyl with the set of extended period repyl with the set of set of extended period to repyl with the set of set of extended period to repyl with the set of the set of extended period to repyl with the set of t	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re, tition.  y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed  'HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>22 January 2004</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a	•	
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the appli	cation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	ithdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
<li>6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.</li>		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	raminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for t	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	•	
1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received in Ap	oplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	ne priority documents have been i	received in this National Stage
application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action fo	r a list of the certified copies not r	eceived.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-		)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO</li> </ol>	/SB/08) 5) Li Notice of In	ionnai ratent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/761,387

Art Unit: 3763

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-28 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,695,830. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it is obvious to release the fluid medicament through the dispensers instead of just the side openings of the dispensers. There is no structural difference or methodical difference between the patent and the instant application.

Art Unit: 3763

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melissa A. McCorkle whose telephone number is (571) 272-2773. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Lucchesi can be reached on (571) 272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melissa A McCorkle Examiner Art Unit 3763

Mal July H